## Philosophy 1, The Examined Life Fall, 1998

## **Second Paper Assignment**

## Due in Class Monday, October 26

(Note: Late papers will be penalized. <u>All</u> papers, however late, must be in by Dec. 4 in order for you to pass the course)

Please write a short paper (three-to-five typed, double-spaced pages) on one of the topics below. Remember that your paper will be evaluated on how well it presents <u>arguments</u> and <u>evidence</u>. In general, the goal of presenting a clear, coherent argument will be furthered if you organize your paper around a single, engaging <u>thesis statement</u> (see handouts).

- 1. Is Voltaire's Pangloss a fair satire of Leibniz? Please pay attention to the fine points of both <u>Candide</u> and Leibniz's <u>Discourse</u> in framing your answer.
- 2. Kant demands that in choosing our actions we determine whether we can will the maxim of our actions to be a universal law (of nature). In every-day decision-making, is this procedure possible, or even desirable? Please avoid superficial answers.
- 3. Consider Cleanthes' argument in Hume's <u>Dialogues</u>, Part II, p. 927, and Philo's subsequent response. Does Philo's response constitute a definitive refutation of the argument that the universe must be the product of <u>some kind</u> of designing intelligence (note emphasis). If not, what, if anything, would?